

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

**ADDENDUM TO JOURNAL
OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL**

Monday - 20 July 1964

(Internal Use Only - JSW) Charles Johnson, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, brought up the question of designation of the position of Deputy Director of NSA in the pay bill. He indicated that he had informed NSA that there was probably nothing the Committee could do at this stage. I mentioned this to [redacted] later. He indicated that he understood the Committee's position. He added that originally they had pushed the Bureau of the Budget for designation of this position at Level IV but Defense would only go along with Level V. [redacted] indicated that Blake still hopes to work out a designation under the 20 slots at Level IV.

s/ John S. Warner

**JOHN S. WARNER
Legislative Counsel**

cc: Ex Dir/Compt
DD/S

[redacted]

SECRET

**Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 20 July 1964**

Page 3

25X1 8. [] Talked to Charles Johnson, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee staff, and delivered to him a letter addressed to the Chairman with regard to placing in the pay bill appropriate wording to permit this Agency and other so-called administrative agencies to make the legislative pay increases retroactive to whatever date is finally adopted by the Conference Committee. Johnson assured me that this matter could be taken care of and that it had been agreed between the two Committees that this was in the nature of a technical amendment which would not require that the Conference discuss it. In addition, Johnson advised that it looks very likely that the retroactive feature will remain despite Administration opposition. Johnson also advised that there will be a request for unanimous consent that the bill go to Conference. It is expected that the Conferees will meet next Tuesday on the bill.

25X1

s/ John S. Warner
JOHN S. WARNER
Legislative Counsel

cc: Ex/Dir/Compt
DD/S

Item #1 - D/BPAm

Items 3, 4, & 5 - Personnel

Items 6 & 7 - Security

SECRET

25X1

25X1

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

P + A
Exp Pay Bill

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Friday - 17 July 1964

[Redacted]

2. (Internal Use Only - JSW) Talked with [Redacted] ✓
Legal Adviser, NSA, who advised that General Blake has had detailed
discussion with the Bureau of the Budget concerning designation of
the position of Deputy Director of NSA in Level IV under the pending
Executive Pay bill. [Redacted] indicated that Mr. Gordon of BOB had
made a firm commitment that this position would be included in the
list to be designated by the President under the authority granted him
in the bill. Consequently, [Redacted] was taking no action on the Hill in
this regard despite information that he has picked up on the Hill that
there are now 33 commitments in this category whereas there were
only 20 slots and as a consequence it appears there is to be some effort
to increase the number from 20 to 40. At [Redacted] request, therefore,
no action is to be taken by the Agency at this time on the Hill in support
of statutory designation. V

[Redacted]

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

P+u

JOURNAL
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 16 July 1964

1. (Unclassified - JSW) Talked with John H. Martiny, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee staff, in connection with the letter to the Chairman on the amendments required as a result of retroactive aspects of the pay bill. Martiny advises the conference will not be held next week so that there is no urgency in getting the letter to them. I indicated we would get it up to them either Monday or Tuesday.

Mr. Martin Kramer of the Bureau of the Budget had called yesterday giving us an okay from Bureau of the Budget viewpoint on this letter.

S/ John S. Warner
JOHN S. WARNER
Legislative Counsel

cc: Ex Dir/Compt
DD/s

STAT

P & A
Exec Pay Bill

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 14 July 1964

1. (Unclassified - DDS) Spoke with Mr. Charles Johnson, Staff Director, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, regarding Mr. Houston's proposed comments on the Federal Pay Act. Mr. Johnson saw no problem with submitting a draft based upon the Senate bill and an alternate based upon the language we expect will result from the House-Senate Conference. ✓

STAT

